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## Overview

■ Measuring performance \& benchmarking

■ References:

- Section 3.2 in: Chellappa, Franchetti, Püschel: How To Write Fast Numerical Code: A Small Introduction, GTTSE 2008
- Hoefler and Belli: Scientific Benchmarking of Parallel Computing Systems, Supercomputing 2015
- Whaley and Castaldo: Achieving accurate and context-sensitive timing for code optimization, Software: Practice and Experience 2008


## Benchmarking

- First: Validate/test your code!
- Measure runtime (in [s] or [cycles]) for a set of relevant input sizes
- seconds: actual runtime
- cycles: abstracts from CPU frequency
- Usually: Compute and show performance (in [flop/s] or [flop/cycle])
- Careful: Better performance $\neq$ better runtime (why?)
- Op count could differ
- Never show in one plot performance of two algorithms with substantially different op count


## How to Measure Runtime?

- C clock()
- process specific, low resolution, very portable
- gettimeofday
- measures wall clock time, higher resolution, somewhat portable

■ Performance counter (e.g., TSC on Intel)

- measures cycles (i.e., also wall clock time), highest resolution, not portable
- Careful:
- measure only what you want to measure
- ensure proper machine state
(e.g., cold or warm cache = input data is or is not in cache)
- measure enough repetitions
- check how reproducible; if not reproducible: fix it
- Getting proper measurements is not easy at all!


## Problems with Timing

- Too few iterations: inaccurate non-reproducible timing
- Too many iterations: system events interfere
- Machine is under load: produces side effects
- Multiple timings performed on the same machine
- Bad data alignment of input/output vectors:
- align to multiples of cache line (on Core: address is divisible by 64)
- sometimes aligning to page boundaries (address divisible by 4096) makes sense
- Machine was not rebooted for a long time: state of operating system causes problems
- Computation is input data dependent: choose representative input data
- Computation is inplace and data grows until an exception is triggered (computation is done with NaNs )
- You work on a computer that has dynamic frequency scaling (e.g., turbo boost)
- Always check whether timings make sense, are reproducible


## Benchmarks in Writing

- Specify experimental setup
- platform
- compiler and version
- compiler flags used
- Plot: Very readable
- Title, $x$-label, $y$-label should be there
- Fonts large enough
- Enough contrast (e.g., no yellow on white please)
- Proper number format

No: 13.254687; yes: 13.25
No: $2.0345 \mathrm{e}-05 \mathrm{~s}$; yes: $20.3 \mu \mathrm{~s}$
No: 100000 B; maybe: 100,000 B; yes: 100 KB

## What's Suboptimal?

Ugly font


